

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	WITHDRAWN BY CR.	PROPOSED MOTION PROVIDED?	
			YES	NO
GENERAL REPORTS				
1	APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO THE LILYPOND HOUSE COMMUNITY CENTRE COMMITTEE OF MANAGEMENT			
2	APPOINTMENT OF SECTION 86 COMMITTEES FOR RECREATION RESERVES	Brett Owen		✓
3	OFF LEASH DOG AREAS	Schilling		✓
POLICY REPORTS				
4	ELECTION CARETAKER PERIOD POLICY			
5	COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT POLICY			
6	TEMPORARY SIGNAGE POLICY REVIEW	Schilling	✓	
7	PROCUREMENT POLICY			
FINANCIAL REPORTS				
8	CONTRACT 18/37 - BLACKSPOT TREATMENT MAIN DRAIN SOUTH ROAD, BUNYIP			
9	CONTRACT 19/01 - PARKS, GARDENS, TREE & SPORTSFIELD MAINTENANCE INCLUDING FIRE SEASON MOWING			
10	CONTRACT 19/24 - KENILWORTH AVENUE STAGE 2 CONSTRUCTION	Brett Owen		✓
11	CONTRACT 19/28 - 2019-20 PAVEMENT RENEWAL WORKS			
12	CONTRACT 19/33 - ROAD RESEALING OF VARIOUS ROADS			
13	USE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUNDING VEHICLE INTERIM BORROWING FACILITY			
ACTIVITY REPORTS				
14	QUARTERLY ENVIRONMENT REPORT			
15	QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT			
16	QUARTERLY FINANCIAL REPORT			
17	MAJOR PROJECTS REPORT	Schilling		✓
NOTICES OF MOTION				
18	NOM 1050 CR BRETT OWEN	Brett Owen		✓
19	NOM 1051 CR BRETT OWEN	Brett Owen		✓
CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS				
20	PERSONNEL MATTER			

Proposed Motion Item 6 – Cr Schilling

That Consideration of the Temporary Community Advertising Policy be deferred for consideration at the December Council Meeting.

Questions from Tony O'Hara

Question 1.

In relation to Cardinia Shires Community Engagement Policy.

It is well recognised that face to face engagement with affected stakeholders will glean better outcomes when considering policy creation of amendments. With the Temporary Community Advertising Signage Policy, this would have provided a much more acceptable proposal to put forward to the public comment process.

I quote only one section of the Community Engagement Policy. It states:

- “The Community Engagement Policy has been developed for the purpose of meeting the following objectives;
- Articulate and guide Council’s commitment and approach to high quality, consistent and genuine community engagement.
- Provide a commitment to inclusive, transparent and accessible community engagement activities within the community
- Provide a framework to build the capacity of the community to contribute to effective and responsive decision making”

The question is;

Why does Council still not engage directly with Community groups who are directly impacted by policies being created or amended, prior to public consultation, in order to ensure all requirements are considered, especially when Council funding is involved in the events affected by this policy?

Question 2

In relation to Item 6: TEMPORARY SIGNAGE POLICY REVIEW

My response to the policy on behalf of the Lakeside Residents Group was incorrectly rejected by Councils email system. Therefore leading to this proposed draft policy which discriminates against various estates who have residents that do not use social media who will not know about events being run with Council funding that they are entitled to attend freely or at minimal charge i.e. Australia Day and NYE at Toomuc Reserve events. These estates include Heritage Springs, Arden, Blue Horizons, Aspect, Arcadia, Park Central and many others who may not use the intersections being proposed in the policy.

The question is: Will Council delay the proposed decision on the TEMPORARY SIGNAGE POLICY REVIEW, to allow my submission which was correctly addressed and mistakenly rejected by Councils email system to be considered?

Question 3

I email a submission to the Temporary Community Advertising Signage Policy review and it seems the email bounced and unfortunately I missed seeing the rejection.

The question is:

Does anyone at Council look at bounced emails to ensure emails like mine mistakenly rejected, the attachment was a PDF submission.

Below is the message (does not need to be read out)

The following recipients did not receive this message:

<C.Jeffs@cardinia.vic.gov.au>

<Councillors@cardinia.vic.gov.au>

<j.campos@cardinia.vic.gov.au>

<m.carter@cardinia.vic.gov.au>

<mail@cardinia.vic.gov.au>

The following attachments have been removed from the bounce message: 20190722 Advertising Signs Submission.pdf

Questions from Jillian Ronald

Question 1.

Could I please be informed as to the number of stickers issued over the past year for Temporary Community Advertising Signage group events and could a sample of this sticker be displayed at the Council meeting on the 18th November 2019?

Question 2.

The Temporary Community Advertising Signage Policy V1.01 states in Point 5 Compliance "Council will allow up to 9 sign locations for the Central Ward area and 3 locations for the Ranges Ward area per application" Appendix 2 is a location photograph for Temporary Advertising Signage at Cochrane Park in Port Ward, but it is not mention this in the policy. My Question to Compliance is; will the Port Ward location be mentioned in the final policy in Point 5?

Question 3.

My question relates to:

Permit Reference BP/20191468

Permit Date: 21 December 2019

To be conducted at Australian Post Pakenham, 117 Main St Pakenham

In point 3 it states

"No chalk board tree sign, in the Main St;"

Could the CEO please explain why the Cardinia Art Society's Christmas Tree has been denied inclusion in the Christmas activities planned for Main St?

Questions from Gloria O'Connor

Question 1.

What is the current situation of the heritage zoned houses in Dame Pattie Avenue Pakenham, and what plans are there for their future use?

Question 2.

Would you please give full details concerning the amount of land separated from the northern end of Pakenham golf course and not included in the Deep Creek Reserve project? What is the actual area of land and its value, has it been sold or is it to be sold for commercial or residential development, and what is the situation regarding the former golf course club building? Did the Planning Minister decision in August 2007 include this part of the site? What future planning and future rezoning advantages will be available for property owners and developers and does Council intend to ensure security of the eastern boundary of the remaining Deep Creek Reserve site? What assurance can you give that the remainder of the current site will remain intact?

Question from Rosa Santo

Would you please give information concerning the progress of increasing services to the business and commercial area of Main Street Pakenham. Which includes need for improved car parking and an additional public toilet?